UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

AUG 3 0 2017

SUSAN Y. SOON AUG 30 2017

SUSAN SOON AUG 30 2017

United States of America,	Case No. [R 17-462 J SWORTHERN DISTRICT OF COURT
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant.	
For the reason stated by the parties on the record Trial Act from	on 8/30, 2017, the Court excludes time under the Speed, 2017 and finds that the ends of justice served by the blic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § I bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(l	yould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the pro or law, that it is unreasonable to	inplex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of esecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial blished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance we given counsel's other scheduled cardiligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h	rould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, ase commitments, taking into account the exercise of due 1)(7)(B)(iv).
	rould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
For the reasons stated on the reconstant of the reasons stated on the reconstant of the state of the reasons stated on the reconstant of the reconstan	ord, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § sent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
	ord, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § ing from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: Ay 32,3, 2017	DØNNA M. RYU
	United States Magistrate Judge

Assistant United States Attorney